

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,640	12/01/2004	Robert E Click	Paralab I	6993	
26365 Bourget Law	7590 03/30/201	0	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 81			MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R		
EAU CLAIRE	E, WI 54702-0081		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,640	CLICK, ROBERT E	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	

	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) More period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07f.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount- thortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropris nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as yen if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		tucina or simplifyina t	a leeuee for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		I be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	nded below of appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 26 and 32-40.							
Claim(s) rejected. 20 and 32-40.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1651					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For instance, claim 26 and its dependents now recite the limitation that the dose contains at least a certain uniber of cfu of an isolated bacterium. This limitation was not included in the previously examined claims and would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, but they have not been found to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments are directed to new claim limitations that have not been entered because they wourd require further search and/or consideration (see above). Further, althought applicant argues that the finality of the previous action should be withdrawn, it is noted that all claims for which a rejection/objection was applicable were addressed. Therefore, applicant's arguments have been considered, but they have not been found to be persuasive.